# organic papers

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

# S. Pandiarajan, B. Sridhar and R. K. Rajaram\*

Department of Physics, Madurai Kamaraj University, Madurai 625 021, India

Correspondence e-mail: sshiya@yahoo.com

#### Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 293 K Mean  $\sigma$ (C–C) = 0.009 Å Disorder in main residue R factor = 0.051 wR factor = 0.145 Data-to-parameter ratio = 7.0

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

# Bis(L-proline) hydrogen(1+) perchlorate

In the title compound,  $2C_5H_9NO_2 \cdot H^+ \cdot ClO_4^-$ , two proline residues are linked by a strong  $O - H \cdot \cdot \cdot O$  hydrogen bond with an  $O \cdot \cdot O$  distance of 2.474 (6) Å. Conformational disorder is observed in the pyrrolidine rings of the two proline residues. In one of the residues, both the major and minor conformers adopt conformations intermediate between half-chair and envelope, whereas in the other residue, they adopt envelope conformations. The crystal structure is stabilized by a number of N $-H \cdot \cdot \cdot O$  hydrogen bonds. Received 29 August 2001 Accepted 11 December 2001 Online 22 December 2001

## Comment

Proline is a very important amino acid due to its unique conformation, which may affect the structure of proteins, in particular, collagen. The crystal structures of L-proline monohydrate (Kayushina & Vainshtein, 1965), DL-proline hydrochloride (Mitsui *et al.*, 1969), DL-homoproline tetra-hydrate (Bhattacharjee & Chacko, 1979) and DL-proline monohydrate (Padmanabhan *et al.*, 1995) have been reported. The crystal structure determination of L-proline with perchloric acid was undertaken to study the effect of the inorganic acid on the conformation of the proline residue.



The asymmetric unit of the title compound, (I), consists of two crystallographically independent proline residues A and B, a H<sup>+</sup> cation and a ClO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup> ion. The conformation angles  $\psi^1$  for A and B are 3.9 (6) and 7.8 (9)°, respectively. The twisting of the carboxyl plane away from the C–N bond is observed in various amino acids (Lakshminarayanan *et al.*, 1967). The conformation angles  $\chi^1$ ,  $\chi^2$ ,  $\chi^3$ ,  $\chi^4$  and  $\theta$  of the pyrrolidine ring for both A and B, respectively, are 33.3 (8) and 40.7 (10), -37.6 (10) and -31.8 (11), 26.8 (10) and 10.0 (10), -6.1 (8) and 16.8 (9), and -16.6 (7) and -35.5 (8)° (Prasad & Vijayan, 1993).

 $\bigcirc$  2002 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved



#### Figure 1

The molecular structure of (I) showing the atomic numbering scheme and 50% probability displacement ellipsoids (Johnson, 1976). For clarity, only the major conformers (76 and 72%) of the pyrrolidine rings are shown.

The conformation of the pyrrolidine ring, in general, is intermediate between half-chair and envelope (Prasad & Vijayan, 1993; Padmanabhan et al., 1995). In the present case, the  $C^{\gamma}$  atom of residue A and  $C^{\beta}$  of residue B are disordered. Both the major and minor conformers of the pyrrolidine ring adopt conformations intermediate between half-chair and envelope in residue A, whereas in residue B they adopt halfchair conformations (Cremer & Pople, 1975; Nardelli, 1983).

The two proline residues in the asymmetric unit are linked through a strong  $O-H \cdots O$  hydrogen bond [2.474 (6) Å]. The equality of the C-O distances within experimental error [1.219 (7) and 1.184 (7), and 1.283 (6) and 1.280 (8) Å] indicates that atom H2B is equally shared by residues A and B. Hence, this hydrogen bond may be termed a symmetric hydrogen bond. The O2B - H2B and O1B - H2B distances are 1.23 (10) and 1.34 (11) Å, respectively. This symmetrically hydrogen-bonded proline dimer is positively charged, as found in L-phenylalanine L-phenylalaninium perchlorate (Srinivasan & Rajaram, 1997) and L-lysine L-lysinium dichloride nitrate (Srinivasan et al., 2001).

The perchlorate anion forms hydrogen bonds with the amino N atoms of both proline residues (Table 2). An intramolecular hydrogen bond, N11-H11A···O1A, is observed in residue A. The N atoms of both A and B are involved in threecentred hydrogen bonds with O atoms of the carboxyl groups and the perchlorate anion. Residues A and B are engaged in a head-to-tail sequence, since the N11-H11A...O1A(-x + 1,  $y + \frac{1}{2}, -z + 1$  (Z1 sequence), N11-H11A...O2A(-x + 1, -2)  $y + \frac{1}{2}$ , -z + 1) (as a three-centred hydrogen bond) and N21-H21A···O1B(x, y - 1, z) hydrogen bonds connect them (Vijayan, 1988).

# **Experimental**

The title compound was crystallized by slow evaporation from an aqueous solution of L-proline and perchloric acid in a 2:1 stoichiometric ratio.



#### Figure 2

Packing of the molecules viewed down the b axis (for clarity, only the major conformers of the pyrrolidine rings are shown).

$D_m$ measured by flotation in a mixture of carbon tetrachloride
and xylene
Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
Cell parameters from 25
reflections
$\theta = 8.3 - 11.6^{\circ}$
$\mu = 0.30 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
T = 293 (2) K
Needle, colorless
$0.5 \times 0.3 \times 0.2 \text{ mm}$

#### Data collection

Enraf-Nonius CAD-4
diffractometer
$\omega$ –2 $\theta$ scans
Absorption correction: $\psi$ scan
(North et al., 1968)
$T_{\min} = 0.899, \ T_{\max} = 0.942$
1528 measured reflections
1420 independent reflections
1181 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

## Refinement

Refinement on  $F^2$  $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.051$  $wR(F^2) = 0.145$ S = 1.121420 reflections 204 parameters H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.009$  $\theta_{\rm max} = 25.0^\circ$  $h = 0 \rightarrow 9$ 

 $k = 0 \rightarrow 8$  $l = -15 \rightarrow 15$ 3 standard reflections frequency: 60 min intensity decay: none

 $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0739P)^2$ + 0.4916P] where  $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$  $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.002$  $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.29 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$  $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.29 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ Absolute structure: Flack (1983) Flack parameter = 0.07 (17)

## Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

01A-C11	1.219 (7)	O2A-C21	1.184 (7)
O1 <i>B</i> -C11	1.283 (6)	O2B-C21	1.280 (8)
O1A-C11-C12-N11	3.9 (6)	O2A-C21-C22-N21	7.8 (9)
N11-C12-C13-C14	33.3 (8)	N21-C22-C23-C24	40.7 (10)
C12-C13-C14-C15	-37.6(10)	C22-C23-C24-C25	-31.8 (11)
C13-C14-C15-N11	26.8 (10)	C23-C24-C25-N21	10.0 (10)
C14-C15-N11-C12	-6.1(8)	C23-C22-N21-C25	-35.5(8)
C13-C12-N11-C15	-16.6(7)	C24-C25-N21-C22	16.8 (9)

#### Table 2

Hydrogen-bonding geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$O2B-H2B\cdots O1B$	1.23 (10)	1.34 (11)	2.474 (6)	149 (8)
N11-H11 $B$ ···O1 $A^{i}$	0.90	2.02	2.795 (6)	143
$N11-H11B\cdots O2A^{i}$	0.90	2.32	2.851 (7)	117
$N11-H11A\cdotsO1A$	0.90	2.19	2.645 (6)	110
N11−H11A···O3	0.90	2.35	3.083 (9)	139
N21-H21 $A$ ···O1 $B$ <sup>ii</sup>	0.90	2.18	2.766 (6)	123
$N21 - H21A \cdots O1^{iii}$	0.90	2.41	3.108 (8)	134
$N21 - H21B \cdot \cdot \cdot O4^{iv}$	0.90	2.15	3.000 (9)	158
Symmetry codes: (i) 1	$-x_{1}\frac{1}{2}+y_{1}1-x_{2}$	$x_{i}$ (ii) $x_{i} y - 1_{i}$	z: (iii) −x, y -	$-\frac{1}{2}$ , 1 – 7; (iv)

Symmetry codes. (i) 1 - x, 2 + y, 1 - 2, (ii) x, y - 1, 2, (iii) -x, y - 2, 1 - 2, (iv)  $1 - x, y - \frac{1}{2}, 1 - z$ . Atoms C14 and C23 were found to be disordered, suggesting

conformational disorder in the pyrrolidine rings of both proline residues. The population of the major conformation was found to be 0.76 (2) for proline residue A and 0.72 (2) for residue B. The corresponding C—C distances in the major and minor conformers were restrained to be equal, with an effective standard deviation of 0.01 Å. Due to a large ADP max/min ratio, the disordered positions C23 and C23' were isotropically refined. Atom H2B was located in a difference Fourier map and refined isotropically; all other H atoms were geometrically fixed and allowed to ride on their attached atoms. Since the crystal belongs to a non-centrosymmetric space group and the diffracted intensities are rather low, the data-to-parameter ratio (7.00) is poor. Data collection: *CAD-4 Software* (Enraf–Nonius, 1989); cell refinement: *CAD-4 Software*; data reduction: *CAD-4 Software*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS*97 (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL*97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *PLATON* (Spek, 1999); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL*97.

BS and RKR thank the Department of Science and Technology (DST), Government of India, for financial support. SPR thanks the University Grants Commission, New Delhi, and the management of Devanga Arts College, Aruppukottai, India, for permitting him to pursue his doctoral research work under the Faculty Improvement Programme.

#### References

- Bhattacharjee, S. K. & Chacko, K. K. (1979). Acta Cryst. B35, 396-398.
- Cremer, D. & Pople, A. (1975). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 97, 1354-1358.
- Enraf–Nonius (1989). CAD-4 Software. Version 5.0. Enraf–Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Flack, H. D. (1983). Acta Cryst. A39, 876-881.
- Johnson, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Kayushina, R. L. & Vainshtein, B. K. (1965). Kristallografiya, 10, 833.
- Lakshminarayanan, A. V., Sashisekharan, V. & Ramachandran, G. N. (1967). Conformation of Biopolymers, edited by G. N. Ramachandran. London: Academic Press.
- Mitsui, Y., Tsuboi, M. & Iitaka, Y. (1969). Acta Cryst. B25, 2182-2192.
- Nardelli, M. (1983). Acta Cryst. C39, 1141-1142.
- North, A. C. T., Phillips, D. C. & Mathews, F. S. (1968). Acta Cryst. A24, 351– 359.
- Padmanabhan, S., Suresh, S. & Vijayan, M. (1995). Acta Cryst. C51, 2098-2100.
- Prasad, G. S. & Vijayan, M. (1993). Acta Cryst. B49, 348-356.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXL97 and SHELXS97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Spek, A. L. (1999). *PLATON* for Windows. Utrecht University, The Netherlands.
- Srinivasan, N. & Rajaram, R. K. (1997). Acta Cryst. C53, 1711-1713.
- Srinivasan, N., Sridhar, B. & Rajaram, R. K. (2001). Acta Cryst. E57, 0888– 0890.
- Vijayan, M. (1988). Prog. Biophys. Mol. Biol. 52, 71-99.